

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Dated: April 13, 2009

Signature: /Mark D. Russett/
Mark D. Russett, Reg. No.: 41,281

Docket No.: 64350(70904)
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Eiji Tani et al.

Application No.: 10/554,235

Confirmation No.: 6558

Filed: October 23, 2006

Art Unit: 1793

For: VISIBLE-LIGHT-RESPONSIVE THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINE CELL-STRUCTURED PHOTOCATALYTIC FILTER, ITS MANUFACTURING METHOD AND PURIFIER DEVICE

Examiner: P. L. Hailey

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

This is a response to the Restriction Requirement mailed on March 11, 2009 (the "Restriction Requirement" or "Office Action"), in the above-referenced patent application. As April 11, 2009, was a Saturday, this response is believed to be timely filed.

REMARKS

The Requirement for Restriction Should be Withdrawn

According to the Office Action, the present application "contains . . . inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1." Applicants disagree and traverse.